

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION

1. AMENDMENT NO.

001

2. EFFECTIVE DATE

10/03/2024

3.
PAGE 1 OF 1

4. ISSUED BY: **Four Rivers Nuclear Partnership, LLC**
P.O. Box 228
Kevil, KY 42053

5. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (*Name, street, county, state & zip code*)

6. AMENDMENT OF (RFQ) SOLICITATION NO.

FRNP-D29-0025761

7. The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 8. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offers is extended. Offers must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended by one of the following methods:

(a) By completing Items 5 and 9, and returning 1 copy of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGEMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by letter, provided the letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.

8. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT

- Amendment 001 provides responses to the questions that were submitted from potential offerors.
- The deadline for the receipt of proposals is being extended. The deadline for the submittal of proposals is now Thursday, 10/17/2024 at 9:00 a.m. CT.

Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document 6 remain unchanged and in full force and effect.

9A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (*Type or print*)

10A. Four Rivers Nuclear Partnership, LLC

Amanda Hatton, Subcontract Administrator

9B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR

9C. DATE SIGNED

10B.
AMANDA
HATTON
(Affiliate)

Digitally signed by AMANDA
HATTON (Affiliate)
Date: 2024.10.03 08:33:51
-05'00'

(Signature)

10C. DATE SIGNED

10/03/2024

(Signature of person authorized to sign)

FRNP-D29-0025761

DECISION 2029 – CWWT & C-400 Design

Questions and Answers

| No. | Questions | Answers |
|------------|---|---|
| 1 | Regarding M.2, Evaluation Criterion 1, Would FRNP accept DoD and TVA experience as equivalency for DOE experience? | DOE experience is preferred however, relevant DoD and TVA experience will be evaluated and scored accordingly. |
| 2 | Regarding M.2, Evaluation Criterion 2, It is requested that the requirement for the Offeror to self-perform a minimum of 90% of the work be reduced to 51% due to the sub specialties required to complete the scope requested. | FRNP will adjust the evaluation criteria allowing Offeror to self-perform a minimum of 70% of the scope of work. The Engineer of Record shall be an employee of the Offeror, (prime subcontractor). The Offeror should provide details on which tasks and associated percentages will be performed by teaming company(ies). |
| 3 | Section M.1 States Small Business is preferred is that correct? | RFP FRNP-D29-0025761 is not a small business set aside solicitation. In reference to all evaluation factors under Section M.2 of the RFP, when in consideration of proposals that are evaluated as being equal, FRNP shall consider a proposal made by a small business as preferred. FRNP goals encourage the use of small businesses in contracting. |
| 4 | Section L.24 states clearances are required? Is that correct? | Clearances are not required for this contract. |
| 5 | Form J-18, does FRNP want the CWWT resource loaded schedule to extend to 9/30/2030? | Clarification: Provide a CWWT resource loaded schedule through the base period, (June 19, 2027). |
| 6 | Evaluation Criteria states key personnel are designated with a double asterisk, yet only a single asterisk are shown (for Project Manager and Lead Engineer). Are those the only two resumes FRNP wants included in the proposal? | Per Evaluation Criterion No. 1, Full-time Key personnel, exclusively on this project, are denoted by a single asterisk. In this case, the Project Manager and Lead Senior Engineer. Double asterisk denote Key personnel who are not required to be full-time, of which there are none identified on this project. In order to be evaluated on the Project Personnel Experience, Listed in the “Overall Technical Merit Evaluation Criteria and Relative Importance” table (pg. 46) resumes for each labor category must to be provided. (Project Manager, Lead Senior Engineer, Senior Engineer, Project Engineer, and CAD Lead) |
| 7 | Does FRNP want resumes for Senior Engineer, Project Engineer, and Lead CAD Operator? | Refer to Response 6 above |

DECISION 2029 – CWWT & C-400 Design

Questions and Answers

| No. | Questions | Answers |
|-----|---|--|
| 8 | <p>What design submittal review durations should we used for scheduling purpose for: FRNP DOE KDEP</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • For the 30-60-90 Design Review, FRNP comment review cycle is 1 month. However, the continuation of the design work for the next phase of the design package can continue in parallel with the review. The responses to the questions must be resolved prior to the submittal of the next design package. • DOE review and approval of the 100% design package is a 2 month cycle time after FRNP approval. • EPA/KDEP review and approval of the 100% design package is 6 month cycle time after DOE approval. |
| 9 | <p>Based on the hours you present in J-18A, should our costs exceed the \$2,154,768.00 through June 19, 2027 (Section B.2), will a bid be disqualified or is this number presented an estimate?</p> | <p>In reference to Section B.2, FRNP is removing the Not To Exceed amount that was referenced. The Offeror shall ensure that their proposal includes completed J-18A and J-18B attachments and that those attachments contain the correct rates and totals as requested in the spreadsheet.</p> |
| 10 | <p>For the Project Manager position, would FRNP consider multiple commercial projects an equivalent substitute for a single DOE project?</p> | <p>DOE experience is preferred however, relevant commercial experience will be evaluated and scored accordingly.</p> |
| 11 | <p>Would FRNP consider a one week extension?</p> | <p>The RFP deadline for the submittal of proposals is now extended to Thursday, 10/17/2024 at 9 am CT.</p> |
| 12 | <p>Would FRNP please define “small business preferred” and how this will be utilized in the evaluation process?</p> | <p>Refer to Response 3 above</p> |
| 13 | <p>Will FRNP relax the 90% completion by prime should the prime responder be a small business?</p> | <p>Refer to Response 2 above</p> |
| 14 | <p>Please define period of time of each review by FRNP, DOE, EPA and KY? Are any/ all of these reviews done concurrently? This is for the purposes of building the schedule.</p> | <p>Refer to Response 8 above</p> |
| 15 | <p>Do all 30%, 60%, 90% and 100% packages have to go through the same review processes with FRNP and regulators?</p> | <p>Correct.</p> |

DECISION 2029 – CWWT & C-400 Design

Questions and Answers

| No. | Questions | Answers |
|-----|--|--|
| 16 | We understand your preference for utilizing a small business, however the 90% self-performance requirement will drastically limit SB participation and negatively impact Four Rivers Nuclear Partnership’s ability to obtain a best value solution. Will Four Rivers Nuclear Partnership consider reducing this requirement to 70%, as was done for the previous landfill design requirement? | Refer to Response 2 above |
| 17 | On Page C-19 of J-24 – Scope of Work, Section 3.2.3.3 States “Certified for Construction documents including but not limited to drawings and specifications for OSWDF Site Preparation and Infrastructure. Each CFC package shall include construction..... Is that supposed to be a reference to CWWT instead of OSWDF? If so, is that intended to mean CFC drawings for CWWT Infrastructure, and support facilities are due at this point (ahead of the 100% CWWT design). | Correction: 3.2.3.3 Sub Task 3: Preparation of Certified for Construction Documents – CWWT Site Preparation and Infrastructure. Certified for Construction documents including but not limited to drawings and specifications for CWWT Site Preparations and Infrastructure. Likewise 3.2.6.1 CWWT Title III Services only includes CWWT construction. It does not include the OSWDF. |
| 18 | On Pages C-21 of the J-24 references are made to Option 1 and Option 2 in sections 3.2.5 and 3.2.6, respectively. However, the cost spreadsheet, J-18, does not provide a corresponding list of option periods 1 and 2, it only list options. What are the calendar dates (starting and ending dates) for Option 1 and Option 2. | The Base Period ends 06/19/2027. Option 1: 06/20/2027 – 09/30/2028 Option2: 10/01/2028 – 09/30/2030 |